

| | | | |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/737,422 | MARTIN ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Maureen M. Wallenhorst | 1743 | |

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Maureen M. Wallenhorst (3) _____

(2) Benjamin Stasa (Appl. Rep.) (4) _____

Date of Interview: 22 August 2007

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

12, 13, 25, 26

Prior art documents discussed:

Martin et al

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Minor changes to claims 12, 13, 25 and 26 were agreed upon in order to place the application in condition for allowance. See Examiner's Amendment. The Examiner also requested that Applicants file a copy of the full article to Martin et al so as to make it of record in the application.